

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,194	05/25/2007	Takehiro Ohkoshi	2565-0297PUS1	1262
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 09/04/200 'ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747		ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2431	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/584,194	OHKOSHI ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
KAVEH ABRISHAMKAR	2431	
		_

This is in res	sponse to the Pr	e-Appeal Brief Re	quest for Review	filed 6 July 2009.
----------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------	--------------------

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Re	equest for Review filed 6 July 2009.
 Improper Request – The Request reason(s): 	is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include rea	on filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. sons why a review is appropriate. ed with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	inues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ation, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under appe is required to submit an appeal brief in ac brief will be reset to be one month from m running from the receipt of the notice of a	als and Interferences — A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has bee bal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicat conditional conference and a proper succession of the second of the large large large and the second of the second of the presence of the second of the second of the presence of the second of the second of the presence of the second of the second of the large second of the second of the large second of the second of the large second of the presence of the second of the presence of the large second of the presence of the large second of the large second of the large second of large second large second of large second of large second large second larg
∑ The panel has determined the st Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 1-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from considerati	
Allowable application – A confere Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on applicant at this time.	nce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conferen action will be mailed. No further action is	ce has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Kaveh Abrishamkar.	(3)Christopher Revak.
(2) William Korzuch.	(4)
/William R. Korzuch/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Part of Paper No. 20090831